

UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United Stat s Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR 03/22/00 SANTORO M 10167-004-99 020583 **EXAMINER** HM22/0627 PENNIE AND EDMONDS 1155 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS TRAVERS, R NEW YORK NY 10036-2711 **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER 1617 DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

06/27/01

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/533,399

Applicant(s)

Santoro et al

Examiner

RUSSELL TRAVERS

Art Unit



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the corres	
	for Reply		·
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM			
	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Isions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C	CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however,	may a reply be timely filed
af	ter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communi- period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day	cation.	
be	considered timely.		
co	period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory mmunication.		
- Any r	re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, b reply received by the Office later than three months after th rned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	y statute, cause the application to bec e mailing date of this communication,	ome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). even if timely filed, may reduce any
Status			
1) 🗆	Responsive to communication(s) filed on		·
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This action	tion is non-final.	
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposi	tion of Claims		
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u>	is/are	e pending in the application.
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/ar	e withdrawn from consideration.
5) 🗆	Claim(s)		is/are allowed.
6)□	Claim(s)		is/are rejected.
7) 🗆	Claim(s)		is/are objected to.
8) 💢	Claims <u>1-20</u>	are subject to restric	ction and/or election requirement.
Applica	tion Papers		
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/ar	e objected to by the Examiner.	
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved.			
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	niner.	
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
•	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	oriority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d).
a) [☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.			
	2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents ha	ve been received in Application 1	No
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority of application from the International Burnard the extended detailed Office action for a list of the	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	this National Stage
—	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domesti		(e)
14)∟	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 0.5.C. 3 115	(6).
Attachm	ent(s)		
15) 🗌 N	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper	
	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application	(PTO-152)
17) 📙 In	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	20) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 09/533,399

Art Unit:

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121:

- I. Claims 1-2 and 8, drawn to a method for treating various viral etiological agents by administering various cyclopentenone compounds.
- II. Claims 3 and 8, drawn to a method for treating inflammation by administering various cyclopentenone compounds.
- III. Claims 4 and 8, drawn to a method for treating cancer by administering various cyclopentenone compounds.
- IV. Claims 5 and 7-17, drawn to a method for inducing cyto-protective responses by administering various cyclopentenone compounds.
- V. Claims 6-17, drawn to a method for inhibiting NF-kB activation by administering various cyclopentenone compounds.
- VI. Claims 18 and 21, drawn to a method for treating various viral etiological agents by administering compounds that induce heat shock protein, or inhibit NF-kB activation.
- VII. Claims 19 and 21, drawn to a method for treating inflammation by administering compounds that induce heat shock protein, or inhibit NF-kB activation.
- VIII. Claims 20 and 21, drawn to a method for treating cancer by administering compounds that induce heat shock protein, or inhibit NF-kB activation.

Application/Control Number: 09/533,399

Art Unit:

The presented inventions are only linked by the presented specification; with each invention requiring separate, distinct search, and consideration. The instant application was filed under 35 USC 371, requiring restriction by unity of invention.

Authority for this restriction practice is found in 37 CFR 1.499. To restrict a case filed under 35 USC 371, the Examiner need not consider the burden posed by examining additional inventions; although such burden is present in the instant case.

Patent Cooperation Rules (PCT) Rules 13.1 and 13.2 permit, in a single application, only one invention. Thus, an application presenting more than one invention, as in the instant case, is appropriately restricted for lack of unity.

Claims contained in Groups I, II and III are directed to methods for treating various diseases employing a plurality of patentably distinct species. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121 to elect a single inventive group even though this requirement is traversed.

Groups I-VIII are directed to a plurality of distinct inventive species, Applicants must elect one of groups I-VIII for examination on the merits. Additionally, Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121 to elect an inventive species for examination on the merits, and present a claim directed to said single therapeutic regimen inventive species, employing a single compound species, for examination on the merits. Said claim must be directed to a single disclosed therapeutic regimen inventive species,

Application/Control Number: 09/533,399

Art Unit:

employing a single compound species residing under the penumbra of one of elected inventive groups I-VIII, even though this requirement is traversed.

The presented inventions are only linked by the presented specification; with each invention requiring separate, distinct search, and consideration. The above delineated inventions differ as distinct methods of therapy; are independent and patentably distinct each from the other. The grouped inventions differ as to their intended use, a reference which would anticipate the invention of one group would neither anticipate, nor make obvious the inventions in the other groups. The searches are not co-inclusive as indicated by the diverse nature of the subject matter. One skilled in the art would readily practice the invention of one of the above groups with out infringing and or practicing the invention of another group. The subject matter is unique and has acquired a separate status in the art and is fully capable of supporting separate patents. For the foregoing reasons restriction is proper for examination purposes.

The grouped inventions are patentably distinct, a reference which would anticipate, or make obvious, any invention from groups I-VIII would not necessarily obviate, or anticipate, the inventions in any other group. The searches are not coinclusive as indicated by the diverse nature of the subject matter, thus, would represent an undue burden on Examiner. One skilled in the art would readily practice the invention of one of the above groups with out infringing and or practicing the invention

Art Unit:

of another group. The subject matter is unique and has acquired a separate status in the art and is fully capable of supporting separate patents. For the foregoing reasons restriction is proper for examination purposes.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variations or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if Examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence may be used in a rejection under 35 USC 103 of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of the claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. 1.48 (b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor if at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently filed petition under 37 C.F.R. 1.48 (b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R. 1.17 (h).

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Russell Travers at telephone number (703) 308-4603.

Russell Travers
Primary Examin r
Art Unit 1617